arXiv:1106.4029v1 [math.AC] 20 Jun 2011

Regularity of symbolic and bracket powers of Borel type ideals

Mircea Cimpoeaş

Abstract

In this paper, we show that the regularity of the q-th symbolic power $I^{(q)}$ and the regularity of the q-th bracket power $I^{[q]}$ of a monomial ideal of Borel type I, satisfy the relations $\operatorname{reg}(I^{(q)}) \leq q\operatorname{reg}(I)$, respectively $\operatorname{reg}(I^{[q]}) \geq q\operatorname{reg}(I)$. Also, we give an upper bound for $\operatorname{reg}(I^{[q]})$.

Keywords: Monomial ideals, Borel type ideals, Mumford-Castelnuovo regularity.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 13P10, Secondary: 13E10.

Introduction

Let K be an infinite field, and let $S = K[x_1,\ldots,x_n], n \geq 2$ the polynomial ring over K. Bayer and Stillman [1] note that Borel fixed ideals $I \subset S$ satisfy the following property:

$$(*) \quad (I : x_j^{\infty}) = (I : (x_1,\ldots,x_j)^{\infty}) \text{ for all } j = 1,\ldots,n.$$

Herzog, Popescu and Vladoiu [7] define a monomial ideal I to be of *Borel type* if it satisfies $(*)$. We mention that this concept appears in [3, Definition 1.3] as the so called *weakly stable ideal*. Also, this concept appears in [2, Definition 3.1], as the so called *monomial ideal of nested type*. We further studied this class of monomial ideals in [4] and [5].

In the first section, we recall some results regarding ideals of Borel type. Also, we discuss the relation between the sequential chain of an ideal of Borel type I, defined in [7], and the primary decomposition of I. In the second section, we prove that if I is an ideal of Borel type, then $I^{(q)}$ and $I^{[q]}$ are also ideals of Borel type, where $I^{(q)}$ is the q-th symbolic power of I and $I^{[q]}$ is the q-th bracket power of I. In [5], we proved that $\operatorname{reg}(I^q) \leq q\operatorname{reg}(I)$. We give a similar result for the q-th symbolic power. More precisely, we prove that $\operatorname{reg}(I^{(q)}) \leq q\operatorname{reg}(I)$, see Theorem 2.3. Also, we prove that $\operatorname{reg}(I^{[q]}) \geq q\operatorname{reg}(I)$, see Theorem 2.5. In Proposition 2.10, we prove that $\operatorname{reg}(I^{[q]}) \leq q\operatorname{reg}(I) + (q-1)(n-1)$.

1 Some basic facts on Borel type ideals.

Firstly, we recall the following equivalent characterizations of ideals of Borel type given in [7] and in [2].

Proposition 1.1. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) I is an ideal of Borel type.

(b) For any $1 \leq j < i \leq n$, we have $(I : x_i^{\infty}) \subset (I : x_j^{\infty})$.

(c) Each $P \in Ass(S/I)$ has the form $P = (x_1,\ldots,x_m)$ for some $1 \leq m \leq n$.

Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal of Borel type. Since each prime ideal $P \in Ass(S/I)$ is of the form $P = (x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ for some $1 \leq m \leq n$, we can assume that I has a irredundant primary decomposition:

$$I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{r} Q_i; \quad such\ that\ P_i := \sqrt{Q_i} = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n_{i-1}}), \;\; n \geq n_0 > n_1 > \cdots > n_{r-1} \geq 1. \quad (1)$$

For each $0 \leq i \leq r-1$, we define $I_i := \bigcap_{j=i+1}^{r} Q_j$. We claim that $I_{i+1} = (I_i : x_{n_i}^{\infty})$ for all $0 \leq i \leq r-1$. Indeed, since Q_{i+1} is P_{i+1}-primary, it follows that there exists a positive integer k such that $x_{n_i}^k \in Q_{i+1}$. So $(I_i : x_{n_i}^{\infty}) \supseteq ((Q_{i+1} \cdot I_{i+1}) : x_{n_i}^{\infty}) \supseteq (x_{n_i}^k \cdot I_{i+1} : x_{n_i}^{\infty}) = I_{i+1}$. For the converse inclusion, note that $(I_i : x_{n_i}^{\infty}) \subseteq (Q_{i+1} : x_{n_i}^{\infty}) \cap (I_{i+1} : x_{n_i}^{\infty}) = S \cap I_{i+1} = I_{i+1}$.

Thus, the chain of ideals $I = I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \cdots \subset I_{r-1} \subset I_r := S$ is the *sequential chain* of I, as it was defined in [7]. Note that $n_i = \max\{j : \; x_j | u \text{ for some } u \in G(I_i)\}$, where we denoted by $G(I_i)$ the set of minimal monomial generators of I_i.

Let J_i be the monomial ideal generated by $G(I_i)$ in $S_i := K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n_i}]$, $0 \leq i \leq r$. Then, the saturation $J_i^{sat} = (J_i : \mathbf{m}_i^{\infty})$ is generated by the elements of $G(I_{i+1})$, where $\mathbf{m}_i = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n_i})S_i$. It follows that $I_{i+1}/I_i \cong (J_i^{sat}/J_i)[x_{n_i+1}, \ldots, x_n]$.

It would be appropriate to recall the definition of the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity. We refer the reader to [6] for further details on the subject.

Definition 1.2. *Let K be an infinite field, and let $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, $n \geq 2$ the polynomial ring over K. Let M be a finitely generated graded S-module. The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity* $\operatorname{reg}(M)$ *of M is*

$$\max_{i,j}\{j - i : \; \beta_{ij}(M) \neq 0\},$$

where $\beta_{ij}(M) = dim_K(Tor_i(K, M))_j$ denotes the ij-th graded Betti number of M.

If M is an artinian graded S-module, we denote $s(M) = \max\{t : \; M_t \neq 0\}$. Herzog, Popescu and Vlădoiu proved the following formula for the regularity of a monomial ideal of Borel type:

Proposition 1.3. *[7, Corollary 2.7] If I is a Borel type ideal, with the notations above, we have*

$$\operatorname{reg}(I) = \max\{s(J_0^{sat}/J_0), \ldots, s(J_{r-1}^{sat}/J_{r-1})\} + 1.$$

Remark 1.4. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal of Borel type with the irredundant primary decomposition* (1), *$I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{r} Q_i$. Let q be a positive integer. We have*

$$I^q = (\bigcap_{i=1}^{r} Q_i)^q = \bigcap_{a \in \mathbb{N}^r, \, |a|=q} \prod_{i=1}^{r} Q_i^{a(i)},$$

where $a = (a(1), \ldots, a(r))$ and $|a| := a(1) + \cdots + a(r)$. We fix a vector $a \in \mathbb{N}^r$ with $|a| = q$. We have

$$\sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^{r} Q_i^{a(i)}} = \sqrt{\bigcap_{i=1}^{r} Q_i^{a(i)}} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{r} \sqrt{Q_i^{a(i)}} = P_{m(a)},$$

where $m(a) = \min\{i : \ a(i) > 0\}$. *It follows that* $Ass(S/I^q) \subset Ass(S/I)$. *In particular, we got another proof of the fact that* I^q *is an ideal of Borel type, than the one which we given in [5]. Moreover,* I^q *has a primary decomposition:*

$$I^q = Q_1^q \cap Q_2(Q_1 \cap Q_2)^{q-1} \cap \cdots \cap Q_r(Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_r)^q.$$

Example 1.5. *We consider the ideal* $Q = (x_1^{a_1}, \ldots, x_m^{a_m}) \subset S$, *where* $m \leq n$ *is a positive integer and* $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_m \geq 1$ *are integers. According to Proposition* 1.3, $\operatorname{reg}(Q) = s(\bar{S}/\bar{Q}) + 1$, *where* $\bar{S} = K[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ *and* $\bar{Q} = \bar{S} \cap Q$. *Since* $u = x_1^{a_1-1} \cdots x_m^{a_m-1} \in \bar{S}$ *is the monomial of the highest degree which is not contain in* $\bar{Q}$, *it follows that*

$$\operatorname{reg}(Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{m}(a_i - 1) + 1 = a_1 + \cdots + a_m - m + 1.$$

We consider the ideal $Q^q = (x_1^{qa_1}, \ldots, x_m^{qa_m}, x_1^{(q-1)a_1}x_2^{a_2}, \ldots)$. *Note that* $Q^q \cap \bar{S} = \bar{Q}^s$ *and therefore* $\operatorname{reg}(Q^q) = s(\bar{S}/\bar{Q}^q) + 1$. *One can easily see that* $u = x_1^{qa_1-1}x_2^{a_2-1} \cdots x_m^{a_m-1}$ *is the monomial of the highest degree which is not contain in* $\bar{Q}^q$. *Thus:*

$$\operatorname{reg}(Q^q) = qa_1 - 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{m}(a_i - 1) + 1 = qa_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_m - m + 1.$$

Note that $\operatorname{reg}(Q^q) \leq q \operatorname{reg}(Q)$, *as we already know from [5, Corollary 1.8], and the equality holds if and only if* $a_2 = \cdots = a_m = 1$.

2 Regularity of symbolic and bracket powers of Borel type ideals

Now, assume $I \subset S$ is an arbitrary monomial ideal with the primary irredundant decomposition $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^r Q_i$. Let q be a positive integer. The *q-th symbolic power of I* is, by definition, the ideal

$$I^{(q)} := \bigcap_{i=1}^{r} Q_i^q.$$

With this notation, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *If* $I \subset S$ *is an ideal of Borel type and* q *is a positive integer, then* $Ass(S/I^{(q)}) \subset Ass(S/I)$. *In particular,* $I^{(q)}$ *is an ideal of Borel type.*

Proof. Assume $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^r Q_i$ is the irredundant primary decomposition of I given in (1). It follows that $I^{(q)} := \bigcap_{i=1}^r Q_i^q$. This primary decomposition of $I^{(q)}$ is not necessarily irredundant. However, since $\sqrt{Q_i^q} = \sqrt{Q_i}$, it follows that $Ass(S/I^{(q)}) \subset Ass(S/I)$. Therefore, by Proposition 1.1(c), $I^{(q)}$ is an ideal of Borel type. $\square$

Example 2.2. *We consider the following ideals,* $Q=(x^{10},x^6y^3,x^2y^7,y^8)\subset S:=K[x,y,z]$, $Q'=Q+(x^4y^6)\subset S$, *and* $I:=(Q,z^2)\cap Q'=(Q,x^4y^6z^2)\subset S$. *Since* $Q\subsetneq Q'$, *it follows that* $(Q,z^2)\cap Q'$ *is the primary irredundant decomposition of* I. *Therefore,* $Ass(S/I)=\{(x,y),(x,y,z)\}$. *On the other hand, by straightforward computation, we get:*

$$Q'^2=Q^2=(x^{20},x^{16}y^3,x^{12}y^6,x^{10}y^8,x^8y^{10},x^4y^{14},x^2y^{15},y^{16}).$$

It follows that $I^{(2)}=Q'^2\cap(Q,z^2)^2=Q^2\cap(Q^2+z^2Q+(z^4))=Q^2$ *and therefore* $Ass(S/I^{(2)})=\{(x,y)\}$. *One can easily check that* $s(K[x,y]/(Q\cap K[x,y])^2)=21$ *and thus* $\operatorname{reg}(I^{(2)})=22$, *according to Proposition* 1.3.

Also, $s(K[x,y]/(Q'\cap K[x,y]^2))=11$ *and* $s((Q,z^2x^4y^6)/Q')=12$. *Therefore, by Proposition* 1.3, *we get* $\operatorname{reg}(I)=13$.

Let $I\subset S$ be a Borel type ideal with the primary decomposition $I:=\bigcap_{i=1}^r Q_i$ from (1). We consider the sequential chain $I=I_0\subset I_1\subset\cdots\subset I_r=S$ of I, where $I_i:=\bigcap_{j=i+1}^r Q_j$. We have the following chain of ideals

$$I^{(q)}=I_0^{(q)}\subset I_1^{(q)}\subset\cdots I_r^{(q)}=S,$$

where $I_i^{(q)}=\bigcap_{j=i+1}^r Q_j^q$. In the chain above, we may have some equalities. Nevertheless, if we denote J_i be the monomial ideal generated by $G(I_i)$ in $S_i:=K[x_1,\ldots,x_{n_i}]$, we have

$$I_{i+1}^{(q)}/I_i^q\cong((J_i^{(q)})^{sat}/J_i^{(q)})[x_{n_i+1},\ldots,x_n].$$

Also, the sequential chain of $I_i^{(q)}$ is obtain from the previous chain of ideal, by removing those ideals I_i with $I_i=I_{i-1}$. Thus, by Proposition 1.3,

$$\operatorname{reg}(I^{(q)})=\max\{s((J_i^q)^{sat}/J_i^q),\ 0\le i\le r-1\}+1. \tag{2}$$

Now, we are able to prove the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *With the above notations, we have:*

$$\operatorname{reg}(I^{(q)})\le q\cdot\operatorname{reg}(I).$$

Proof. We fix $0\le i\le r-1$. Since $I_i:=\bigcap_{j=i+1}^r Q_j$, it follows that $J_i=\bigcap_{j=i+1}^r\bar{Q}_j$, where $\bar{Q}_j$ is the ideal generated by $G(Q_j)$ in S_i. On the other hand, since J_i^{sat} is generated by the elements of $G(I_{i+1})$, it follows that $J_i^{sat}=\bigcap_{j=i+2}^r\bar{Q}_j$. Note that

$$s(J_i^{sat}/J_i)+1=\min\{j:\ \mathbf{m}_i^jJ_i^{sat}\subset J_i\}$$

and therefore $s(J_i^{sat}/J_i)+1=\min\{j:\mathbf{m}_i^j\bar{Q}_k\subset\bar{Q}_{i+1}\ \ for\ \ all\ \ k=i+2,\ldots,r\ \}$. Analogously, since $I_i^{(q)}:=\bigcap_{j=i+1}^r Q_j^q$, it follows that

$$s((J_i^{(q)})^{sat}/J_i^{(q)})+1=\min\{j:\mathbf{m}_i^j\bar{Q}_k^q\subset\bar{Q}_{i+1}^q\ \ for\ \ all\ \ k=i+2,\ldots,r\ \}.$$

Note that if $\mathbf{m}_i^j\bar{Q}_k\subset\bar{Q}_{i+1}$ then $\mathbf{m}_i^{jq}\bar{Q}_k^q=(\mathbf{m}_i^j\bar{Q}_k)^q\subset\bar{Q}_{i+1}^q$. Therefore, we get

$$s((J_i^{(q)})^{sat}/J_i^{(q)})+1\le q\cdot(s(J_i^{sat}/J_i)+1).$$

By applying Proposition 1.3 to I and (2) we get the required conclusion. □

Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal of Borel type. An interesting question is to find a relation between $\operatorname{reg}(I^q)$ and $\operatorname{reg}(I^{(q)})$.

Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal and let q be a nonnegative integer. We define the *q-th bracket power* of I, to be the ideal $I^{[q]}$, generated by all monomials u^q, where $u \in I$ is a monomial. In particular, $I^{[0]} = S$ and $I^{[1]} = I$. Note that if $G(I) = \{u_1, \ldots, u_m\}$ is the set of minimal monomial generators of I, then $G(I^{[q]}) = \{u_1^q, \ldots, u_m^q\}$. Note that $I^{[q]} \subset I^q$ for all q. In fact, when $q \geq 2$, the equality holds if and only if I is principal. Also, one can easily see that $(I \cap J)^{[q]} = I^{[q]} \cap J^{[q]}$ for any monomial ideals $I, J \subset S$.

Now, assume $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^r Q_i$ is the irredundant primary decomposition of I. We claim that $I^{[q]} = \bigcap_{i=1}^r Q_i^{[q]}$ is the irredundant primary decomposition of $I^{[q]}$, where q is a positive integer. In order to prove this, we fix an integer i with $1 \leq i \leq r$ and we chose a monomial $u \in Q_i \setminus \bigcap_{j\neq i} Q_i$. Obviously, $u^q \in Q_i^{[q]}$. We claim that $u^q \notin \bigcap_{j\neq i} Q_i$. Assume this is not the case. It follows that $u^q = u_j^q w_j$ for some monomials $u_j \in Q_j$ and $w_j \in S$, for all $j \neq i$. Therefore, $u_j | u$ for all $j \neq i$. It follows that $u \in \bigcap_{j\neq i} Q_i$, a contradiction.

As a consequence, we get the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *If $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal and q a positive integer, then $Ass(S/I) = Ass(S/I^{[q]})$. In particular, if I is of Borel type, then $I^{[q]}$ is of Borel type.*

Now, we are able to prove the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal of Borel type. Then:*

$$\operatorname{reg}(I^{[q]}) \geq q \cdot \operatorname{reg}(I).$$

Proof. We consider the primary irredundant decomposition $\bigcap_{i=1}^r Q_i$ of I from (1) and the sequential chain $I = I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \cdots \subset I_r := S$ of I, where $I_i = \bigcap_{j=i+1}^r Q_j$, for $0 \leq i \leq r-1$. Note that the sequential chain of $I^{[q]}$, is $I^{[q]} = I_0^{[q]} \subset I_1^{[q]} \subset \cdots \subset I_r^{[q]} = S$. Indeed, all the inclusions are stricts.

We fix an integer $0 \leq i \leq r-1$. Let J_i be the monomial ideal generated by $G(I_i)$ in $S_i := K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n_i}]$. We denote $\bar{Q}_j$, the ideal generated by $G(Q_j)$ in S_i, for all $1 \leq j \leq r$. With these notations, we have $J_i = \bigcap_{j=i+1}^r \bar{Q}_j$ and $J_i^{[q]} = \bigcap_{j=i+1}^r \bar{Q}_j^{[q]}$. On the other hand, since J_i^{sat} is generated by the elements of $G(I_{i+1})$, it follows that $J_i^{sat} = \bigcap_{j=i+2}^r \bar{Q}_j$.

Let $u \in J_i^{sat} \setminus J_i$ be a nonzero monomial. We claim that $x_1^{q-1}u^q \in (J_i^{[q]})^{sat} \setminus J_i^{[q]}$. It is clear that $x_1^{q-1}u^q \in (J_i^{[q]})^{sat}$. If we assume that $x_1^{q-1}u^q \in J_i^{[q]}$, it follows that $x_1^{q-1}u^q = v^q \cdot w$, where $v \in J_i$ is a monomial and $w \in S$ is a monomial. Since $v^q | x_1^{q-1}u^q$, it follows that $v|u$ and therefore $u \in J_i$, a contradiction.

As a consequence, we get $s((J_i^{[q]})^{sat}/J_i^{[q]}) \geq q \cdot s(J_i^{sat}/J_i) + q - 1$. By applying Proposition 1.3, we get the required conclusion. $\square$

Remark 2.6. *The conclusions of Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.5 hold for monomial ideals $I \subset S$ with $Ass(S/I)$ totally ordered by inclusion. Indeed, if I is such an ideal, we can define a ring isomorphism $\varphi : S \to S$ given by a reordering of variables, such that $\varphi(I)$ is an ideal of Borel type. Since the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity is an invariant, it follows that $reg(I) = reg(\varphi(I))$.*

Bermejo and Giemenez give in [2] a formula for the regularity of a Borel type ideal I, when the irredundant irreducible decomposition is known. More precisely, they proved the following Proposition.

Proposition 2.7. *[2, Corollary 3.17] Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal of Borel type. Assume $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^m C_i$ is the irredundant irreducible decomposition of I. Then:*

$$\operatorname{reg}(I) = \max\{reg(C_i) :\ i = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

Since C_i's are irreducible monomial ideals, they are generated by powers of variables. Since $\sqrt{C_i} \in Ass(S/I)$ and I is of Borel type, we may assume that $C_i = (x_1^{a_{i1}}, \dots, x_{r_i}^{a_{ir_i}})$, where r_i is an integer with $1 \leq r_i \leq n$ and a_{ij} are some positive integers. Denote $S_i := K[x_1, \dots, x_{r_i}]$. If we denote $\bar{C}_i$ the ideal generated by $G(C_i)$ in S_i, then, by Proposition 1.3, as in Example 1.5, we have $\operatorname{reg}(C_i) = s(S_i/\bar{C}_i) + 1 = a_{i1} + \cdots + a_{ir_i} - r_i + 1$. Therefore, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.8. *With the notations above,*

$$\operatorname{reg}(I) = \max\{a_{i1} + \cdots + a_{ir_i} - r_i + 1 :\ i = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

Let q be a positive integer and consider the ideal $I^{[q]}$. Since $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^m C_i$, it follows that $I^{[q]} = \bigcap_{i=1}^m C_i^{[q]}$ and $C_i^{[q]} = (x_1^{qa_{i1}}, \dots, x_{r_i}^{qa_{ir_i}})$. Note that $\bigcap_{i=1}^m C_i^{[q]}$ is the irredundant irreducible decomposition of $I^{[q]}$. Indeed, we can argue in the same way as we did for the irreducible primary decomposition of $I^{[q]}$. Therefore, by Corollary 2.8, we get the following.

Corollary 2.9. *$reg(I^{[q]}) = \max\{qa_{i1} + \cdots + qa_{ir_i} - r_i + 1 :\ i = 1, \dots, m\}$.*

The above formula leads us to the following upper bound for $\operatorname{reg}(I^{[q]})$.

Proposition 2.10. *Let $I \subset S$ be an ideal of Borel type and let q be a positive integer. Then:*

$$\operatorname{reg}(I^{[q]}) \leq q \operatorname{reg}(I) + (q-1)(n-1) = \alpha q \operatorname{reg}(I) - (n-1),$$

where $\alpha = 1 + \frac{n-1}{reg(I)}$.

Proof. With the above notations, we may assume $\operatorname{reg}(I) = a_{i1} + \cdots + a_{ir_i} - r_i + 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$. According to Corollary 2.8 and Corollary 2.9, $\operatorname{reg}(I^{[q]}) = qa_{i1} + \cdots + qa_{ii_r} - r_i + 1$. Therefore, $\operatorname{reg}(I^{[q]}) = q \operatorname{reg}(I) + (q-1)(r_i - 1)$. Since $r_i - 1 \leq n - 1$, we get the required inequality. The remaining equality is trivial. $\square$

We conclude this paper, with the following example.

Example 2.11. *Let $I = (x) \cap (x^2, y) = (x^2, xy) \subset S = K[x, y]$. Let q be a positive integer. It follows that $I^q = (x^{2q}, x^{2q-1}y, \dots, x^q y^q) = (x^q) \cap (x^{2q}, x^{2q-1}y, \dots, x^{q+1}y^{q-1}, y^q)$.*

Also, we obtain $I^{(q)} = (x^q) \cap (x^2, y)^q = (x^q) \cap (x^{2q}, x^{2q-2}y, \dots, x^2 y^{q-1}, y^q) = (x^{2q}, x^{2q-2}y, \dots, x^{2q-2\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor} y^{\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor}, x^q y^{\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor + 1})$.

On the other hand, $I^{[q]} = (x^q) \cap (x^{2q}, y^q) = (x^{2q}, x^q y^q)$.

We consider the sequential chain of I, $I =: I_0 \subset I_1 \subset I_2 := S$, *where* $I_1 = (x)$. *We have* $J_0 = I \subset S$ *and* $J_1 = (x) \subset K[x]$. *Therefore,* $J_0^q = I^q$, $J_0^{(q)} = I^{(q)}$ *and* $J_0^{[q]} = I^{[q]}$. *Also,* $J_1^q = J_1^{(q)} = J_1^{[q]} = (x^q) \subset K[x]$. *We get* $J_0^{sat} = (x)S$, $(J_0^q)^{sat} = (J_0^{(q)})^{sat} = (J_0^{[q]})^{sat} = (x^q)S$ *and* $J_1^{sat} = (J_1^q)^{sat} = (J_1^{(q)})^{sat} = (J_1^{[q]})^{sat} = K[x]$.

We have $s(J_1^{sat}/J_1) = 0$ *and* $s((J_1^q)^{sat}/J_1^q) = s((J_1^{(q)})^{sat}/J_1^{(q)}) = s((J_1^{[q]})^{sat}/J_1^{[q]}) = q-1$.

Also, one can easily compute $s(J_0^{sat}/J_0) = 1$, $s((J_0^q)^{sat}/J_0^q) = 2q-1$, $s((J_0^{(q)})^{sat}/J_0^{(q)}) = 2q-1$ *and* $s((J_0^{[q]})^{sat}/J_0^{[q]}) = 3q-2$. *By Proposition* 1.3, *it follows that* $\operatorname{reg}(I) = 2$, $\operatorname{reg}(I^q) = \operatorname{reg}(I^{(q)}) = 2q$ *and* $\operatorname{reg}(I^{[q]}) = 3q-1$.

Since $I = (x) \cap (x^2, y)$ *is also the irreducible irredundant decomposition of* I, *by Corollary* 2.8 *and Corollary* 2.9, *we can compute directly* $\operatorname{reg}(I) = \max\{1-1+1, 2+1-2+1\} = 2$ *and, respectively,* $\operatorname{reg}(I^{[q]}) = \max\{q-1+1, 2q+q-2+1\} = 3q-1$.

Note that $\operatorname{reg}(I^{[q]}) = q\operatorname{reg}(I) + (q-1)(2-1)$ *and therefore, the upper bound given in Proposition* 2.10 *is the best possible.*

References

[1] D. Bayer, M. Stillman, *A criterion for detecting m-regularity*, Invent. Math **87** (1987), 1-11.

[2] I. Bermejo, P. Gimenez, *Saturation and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity*, Journal of Algebra, **303 no. 2**(2006), 592-617.

[3] G. Caviglia, E. Sbarra, *Characteristic-free bounds for the Castelnuovo Mumford regularity*, Compos. Math. **141 no.6** (2005), 1365-1373.

[4] M. Cimpoeas ”A stable property of Borel type ideals”, Communications in Algebra, **vol 36 no 2**, 2008, p.674-677.

[5] M. Cimpoeas ”Some remarks on Borel type ideals”, Communications in Algebra, **vol 37, no 2**, 2009, p.724-727.

[6] D. Eisenbud ”Commutative algebra”, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[7] J. Herzog, D. Popescu, M. Vladoiu, *On the Ext-Modules of ideals of Borel type*, Contemporary Math. **331** (2003), 171-186.

Mircea Cimpoeas, Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy
E-mail: mircea.cimpoeas@imar.ro